G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1896-7
191 .
(Sep. Rev.)

23

File No. 27 of 96-7

Serial Nos. 1 - 6

Papers Filed. B

Month June 1897

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Anandur Ramanna regarding his Toddy Contract for 1892-3.

NO

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
S. R. 57 of 92-3	Communicated B

References

3/12

1487 & 6-97 given

Letter dated 30-11-96.

Recd 3/12

3723-11-96.

SECRETARIAT SR

27 NOV 96 1

SERVICE 21

4/12

Refer to Surveyor

Recd 4/12/96

Sent

5/12/96

To be transferred to Excise Branch

7/12/96

Endorsed for orders.

The Petitioner's case having been finally disposed of in Govt Pers: No 2871-72 of 12th September/96, he may, if approved, be informed that the Govt decline to reopen the subject

9/12/96

Drafted

Worked

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
R. No. 145
14 DEC. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

No 1554

(34)

Name of petitioner Anandan Rairanna

Village do Anandan

Taluk Ar Kalgode

District Kassaragod

Date of Order 26-11-96

Date of Receipt 27-11-96.

Date of Disposal —

No of enclosures Nil

Abstract

States that he is in receipt of Government endorsement No 651 of 13-11-96 that as the matter has not been settled in accordance with his representation he is about to approach Govt again, that he has been repeatedly representing that he was never put in possession of all the Varams noted in the Licence granted to him, that the Dept Commrs representation that a sufficient extent of Toddy

Could have been drawn from the Receipt
Vouchers alone proves that all the
Vouchers noted in the Account were
not given possession of to him, that
he is grieved at the representation
that a remission is wanted Petitioner
that he does not know what this
remission means, that on account
of the default to put him in possession
of the whole of the Vouchers to which
he was entitled according to his
Account he has been unavoidably
unavoidably suffered heavy loss
Prays the informed
against whom he should proceed
Wherever his hopes

BB.
27/11/96

3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 16541
DATE 30-11-96

Applicant
Advocate
BM
27/11/96

10.

11.

Direct forest

(35)

Endorsement

No. 5460 dt. 14.12.96

Ex: 211

In reply to his petition dated 26th November 1896 praying for ~~the~~ reconsideration of the Govt. order passed in the matter of his late Toddy Contract in the Arkalgud Taluq for the year 1892-93, Anandur Ramanna is informed that, ~~the petitioner's~~ his case having been finally disposed of ~~dtd~~ the result communicated to him in endorsement no 651 dt. 14/11/96, Government decline to reopen the subject.

"B. O."

14/12/96

for Secretary

HD

14/12/96

SECTION S. R

FILE NO. 77 ad 96-7

SERIAL NO. 35

References
of V.K.7.
1654 of 96 - Court Special
Branch. on 7-12
Dist X
to 15/1/97

To Excise Branch in continuation

15/1/97

1896 (3746)

No. 2141

Name of Petitioner Ramanna J.

Village Annadur

Taluk Arkalgud

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 23-12-96

Date of Receipt 6-1-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

SECTION S.R.

FILE No. 27 of 1896-7

SERIAL No. 2 3

B

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Endorsement No. 65 of 15-11-96 Fraudes on his appeal against the loss caused to him by the Arkalgud Taluk authorities in the matter of the toddy contract for 1896-97 states as follows:-

(1) The above order has been passed purely upon the report of the authorities of the Department but not after going through the Records in the Secretariat, Bangalore and Arkalgud Taluks and also the Records in the hands with him.

(2) The authorities did not grant him license in April when the toddy fees a foot-tale and plan in Koppa-of the Vacancies as stated by them in their Petition. He was granted license on 22nd June and was given an yadi to Bangalore Taluk on 15th July to place him in the Koppa of the Vacancies. This is after

the Dog. The Summer season - the Summer Zabuk authorities placed him in possession of the three Vanams opt pick. and obtained a receipt from him for 6 Vanams - He has not executed a counterdeed.

3. It was the duty of the Zabuk authorities to place him in ~~possession~~ possession of the Vat at the proper time - as they failed to do so he incurred no loss -

4. It is stated in the order that if he had not had proper support of Govt from the fixed Vanams he would not have paid his Kist for June 92 and also the deposit amount - It is in contemplation that the deposit amount should be paid beforehand - The contractor is at liberty to pay his Kist and lighten his burden at any time on the hope that Govt would help him to work out the Vat. Govt has no reason to Enter into this question.

5. It is stated that his appeal is dismissed relying upon the reports of the departmental authorities concerning their mistakes - But of the 8 Vanams entrusted to in the license however Zabuk authorities took the three Vanams and three of Govt. one Vanam and credited the reduction - actual said Zabuk authorities worked the remaining four Vanams - the full amount of his license has been procured - In spite of this the actual said Zabuk authorities must bear responsible for the amount of a Vanam in another Taluk - attached the property of his Security and reported that so as could not be realised from the produce of the Security - the matter might have been brought to the notice of Govt in the Excise Govt. there was nothing for Govt to remit as the full amount of the Vanams reserved in the license had been realised

(6) The Vat was placed in his possession in August - So he had 8 months left to work the contract of which 4 months were raining and 4 cold season - no Sale could be effected during the above seasons - Consequently he had to maintain Establishment for nothing at all - Thus he has incurred till now a loss of the Extent of Rs. 5000 /-

The order has been passed on his petition in the matter of 26-11-96 - since he has represented Govt. with his petition stating briefly of the dealings of the Zabuk authorities.

Prayed that on perusal of the records and on hearing him an Equitable order may be passed.

Stated that he changed Engagement till the 15th January & that any Engagement that may be given to him will in that time may be sent to him the re

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION-DEPARTMENT.
REGISTERED No. 2114 R
DATE 6.1.97

4

RECEIVED
Gl. No. 167
No.
2 JAN 97
189 DEWAN'S OFFICE

(38)

From

~~The General Secretary to the~~
~~Govt. of Mysore.~~

~~To~~ C. Ramanaah
Pleader, Mysore

SECTION S.
FILE No. 27 of 96-7
SERIAL No. 3 1/4

~~Dated~~ Cauvery 8-1-97
~~Despatched~~
Received 18-1-97

General No. 2346R
No.

Enclosure No.

Soliciting Govt. to reconsider their decision in the matter of claim for damages preferred by one Anundur Ramanaah, ~~Toddy~~ Vend Farmer, Arkal-gud Taluk for the year 92-93.

Submitted for orders.

Anandur Ramanna whose case was disposed of in Govt. Pro: No. 2871-72 Ex 99 of 12th September/96 was informed on his further petition that the Govt. declined to reopen the subject. The Petitioner who is a pleader, now applies on behalf of the said Ramanna, for a reconsideration of the said order.

There is a threadbare suit for damages of Govt. 28/1/97. Chief Commr. must of course be informed. 27/1/97

27/1/97

By post registered BBR, 16/1/97

Register & transfer to Excise Branch only [illegible] 18/1

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REC'D. No. 2346 R
DATE 18-1-97

Excise Department

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ ನವರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಂಗಳಿಗೆ—

ಮೈಸೂರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮಣ್ಣನು ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ—

ಶೇಂದಿವನಗಳು:—

I ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು ತಾ|| ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜಕಟ್ಟೆ ಹೋ|| ರುದ್ರಪಟ್ಟಣ, ಹನ್ನೊಳ್ಳುವಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಮರಗಳು—

II ಹುಣಸೂರು ತಾ|| ವನಗಳು—
1 ಚಿಟ್ಟೆಕೆರೆ
2 ರಾಮನಗಡ್ಡೆ
3 ಹಾರವೈಯ್ಯನಕಟ್ಟೆ
4 ಕುಳ್ಳೆಗೌಡನಕೆರೆ
5 ಅಕ್ಕೆಹೆಬ್ಬಾಳು
6 ರುದ್ರಪಟ್ಟಣ

III ಯಡತೊರೆ ತಾ|| ವನಗಳು—
1 ಹರದನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಮಜರೆ ದಡದಹಳ್ಳಿ
2 ಬಿಲ್ಲಾದಹಳ್ಳಿ.

ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜಕಟ್ಟೆ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಆನಂದೂರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ರಾಮಣ್ಣನೆಂಬುವರು, ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು, ಹುಣಸೂರು, ಮತ್ತು ಯಡತೊರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಜಾಪ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶೇಂದಿ ಬಾಬಸ್ತು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ, ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡದೆ ಮಲ್ಲೆ ಭೋಗಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ; ಲೈ ಭೋಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ವನಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಿಲೋಕಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಖಾಲ್ಲೆ ಚಿಕ್ಕೆರೆನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ; ಹುಣಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ೬ ವನಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ೨ ವನಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಲೇ ಇಲ್ಲ ವೆಂತಲೂ; ಆ ವನಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ಬೇರೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ; ಆ ವನಗಳದರ ಖಿಲ್ಲತ್ತು ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಹುಣಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನವರು ಆ ಬೇರೆ ಉಜಾರಿದಾರನಿಂದ ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ; ಆ ದೇವನಗಳ ಬಾಕಿಗಾಗಿ ರಾಮಣ್ಣನವರ ಮತ್ತು ಜಾಮೀನ್ದಾರನ ಸ್ವತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹರಾಜು ಮಾಡಿ ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನವರು ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಿರುವದಾಗಿಯೂ; ಯಡತೊರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ದಡದಹಳ್ಳಿ ವನದ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಯಡತೊರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನವರು, ಬಿಲ್ಲಾದಹಳ್ಳಿ ವನದ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನವರು ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾ

తిక్కొండి దాగర్నుంచి ఉరవకొండ రోడ్డులోని నవరువస్తూ ల్యాతిక్కొండ దాటినవెంటనే ఎడమ పక్కన రెవ్వ తొట్లూపగిశేకొండ బ్యాకేండు అ రకల గుండు తొగినవరకు ఉవరబిలిబలు భ్యాగద్భి మక్కె లువర జూమీ ఔరంగ తొంలాంగడాం చుట్టూ ఎంతి వస్తూ ల్యా తిక్కొండ దాటినగిటూ; ఊరకన్ను తొగిను ఔదదుర్కొండ ఉరుల్లా వనగళిం దలుంగేండి సరబంయి ఔరూడువదక్కే బీకొదట్టి బిళిగిండ రక్కతోబ్బండి వి వాహాచూగళన్నే మొంతిక్కొండిదట్టినగిటూ; అతొలదల్లగేలు ప్రవగళన్నే కూంక్రా కుళ్ళిదూ వొంతిద్దరిందలూ, కేలవ్రవగళన్నే సుఖదుఃఖాంతి దేనేలు రకరిగే కొంటిట్టు బిటిట్టె అలుతరరిందలూ, ఊరకనిందలూ శుభావస్తూల్యాతి కొండట్టరిందలూ; శేంది వి శేట్టివాసి బిజాగకరక్క జీనిగేకొలదవ ఏప్రిల్, మే, జూన్, జూలై, రింగళూ గళళ్గి బాంబు కుళ్ళదిన్లదే ఊరు ట్టరిందల్లా ఆగారక్కన టైవన్న కొండిక బీకేండు ఆలాగి దిస్ట్రిక్ట్ ఎడిమ్ జిక్ర-మిడుగాండీబర వరుగళిగూం, శుంనిస్తానక్కూ అనేక-ఆజీగళన్న కొంట్టా గ్రూప్ ల్లాం వాదరే మోంగవన్న కదితుంటలు లవేంరలూ; ఏకేన్ ఊరక్కి శ్రద్ధనిబెవాయ్ట్టు, లుక్కొనువ డేరిధానే ఎంబువదుగొంరమేంటిన వరకినవాంద బీక్కె కేబందట్టరింద కేళ్గి కొండ్లదేలు రక్కె అందరేఊరిం దవస్తూలాంగదేల్యాద మూంబలగినవిలిగేరదాం లుల్లట్టరింద రిమిక్కిగ్ మాంతిరువదాం 651 13-11-97

ఈ దుక్కుం అప్పనొలదంరేఊం ఊంగలుక్కొం భిగిసువొరున్నిం రుంవాలుగే గొంరమేంటిన మేలిదాంవా మూరక బీకేంరలూం జేళ్గుక్కింకే. ఆ ట్టరింద గొంరమేంటి నవరు దబ్బు విట్టిట్టు కునరాంలోంబకనే రేగేదుక్కొండు ఊంరన్ను ఊండిరువనక్కట్టక్కె రిదిదారవన్నక్కూ దువం రేఅప్పనొ మాంతిర బీకేంరు బేదిక్కొళ్ళుల్లే నే.

8/11/97.

Draft as per order

Endorsement (39)

No 6857 / Ex: 273 S3 2-97 / 5

SECTION C.R

FILE No. 77-P.96-1

SERIAL No. 23 4 5

In reply to his petition of 8th January 1897, Registered No: 2346R/167, C. Ramanna, Pleader, Mysore, is informed that ~~Govt reject his petition~~ Annandrao Ramanna's case ~~has~~ has been finally disposed of by Govt: ~~and~~ cannot now be ~~reconsidered~~ reopened and ~~that the present petition is rejected~~

By Order

Secretary

30/1/97

SECTION C R

FILE No. 27 of 96-7

SERIAL No. 65

(30)

14-11-96

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೫೪೪ ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆದವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತ ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆದ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆದ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಸಾಲ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯ ಕಂತುಗಳು ಸಾಲ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯ ಅವಧಿ ಸಾಲ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಗಮನಿಸಿ

೧ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೨೫೦೦ ೧೨-೦೩-೧೯೭೬ ಎತ್ತು ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಗಂಗಮ್ಮ ಕೋಂ ಬಸವರಾಜ ಪಾಟೀಲ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೫೦೦ ೦೫-೦೭-೧೯೭೬ ಹೊಲ ಉಳುಮೆಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೩ ಶ್ರೀ ಈರಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಮಾದಪ್ಪ ಕಮ್ಮಾರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೨೦೦ ೨೨-೦೯-೧೯೭೬ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಕೆಲಸದ ಸಲಕರಣೆಗಳ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೪ ಶ್ರೀ ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೩೫೦೦ ೦೧-೧೨-೧೯೭೬ ಬಾವಿ ತೋಡಲು ಮತ್ತು ಪಂಪ್‌ಸೆಟ್ ಅಳವಡಿಸಲು ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೫ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸಾವಿತ್ರಿ ಕೋಂ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಜಾಧವ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೮೦೦ ೧೮-೦೪-೧೯೭೭ ಹೈನುಗಾರಿಕೆಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೬ ಶ್ರೀ ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ರಾಮಪ್ಪ ಬಡಿಗೇರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೮೦೦ ೨೭-೦೬-೧೯೭೭ ಮನೆ ರಿಪೇರಿಗಾಗಿ ೭ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೭ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೭ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸವರಾಜ ಬಿನ್ ಗುರುಪಾದಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೨೨೦೦ ೦೩-೦೯-೧೯೭೭ ಎತ್ತು ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೮ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಶಾಂತವ್ವ ಕೋಂ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೬೦೦ ೧೫-೦೧-೧೯೭೮ ಹೊಲಿಗೆ ಯಂತ್ರ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೯ ಶ್ರೀ ಯಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ ಕುರುಬರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೫೦೦ ೨೦-೦೫-೧೯೭೮ ಕುರಿ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೦ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಮಾದರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೨೦೦ ೦೮-೦೮-೧೯೭೮ ಚಪ್ಪಲಿ ತಯಾರಿಕೆಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೧ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಪಾರ್ವತಿ ಕೋಂ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೨೫೦೦ ೧೨-೧೨-೧೯೭೮ ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟಲು ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೨ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾರುತಿ ಬಿನ್ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಜಾಧವ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೦೦೦ ೨೫-೦೩-೧೯೭೯ ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೩ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಪಾದಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೨೦೦೦ ೦೯-೦೭-೧೯೭೯ ಎತ್ತು ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೪ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮವ್ವ ಕೋಂ ಈರಪ್ಪ ಕಮ್ಮಾರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೫೦೦ ೧೭-೧೦-೧೯೭೯ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಕೆಲಸದ ಸಲಕರಣೆಗಳ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೫ ಶ್ರೀ ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಯಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಕುರುಬರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೨೦೦ ೨೨-೦೨-೧೯೮೦ ಕುರಿ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೬ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಮಾದರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೫೦೦ ೦೫-೦೬-೧೯೮೦ ಚಪ್ಪಲಿ ತಯಾರಿಕೆಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೭ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸರೋಜಮ್ಮ ಕೋಂ ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೩೦೦೦ ೧೦-೦೯-೧೯೮೦ ಬಾವಿ ಆಳ ಮಾಡಲು ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೮ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾರುತಿ ಬಿನ್ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಜಾಧವ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೦೦೦ ೨೫-೦೩-೧೯೮೧ ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೧೯ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಪಾದಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೨೦೦೦ ೦೯-೦೭-೧೯೭೯ ಎತ್ತು ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೦ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮವ್ವ ಕೋಂ ಈರಪ್ಪ ಕಮ್ಮಾರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೫೦೦ ೧೭-೧೦-೧೯೭೯ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಕೆಲಸದ ಸಲಕರಣೆಗಳ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೧ ಶ್ರೀ ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಯಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಕುರುಬರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೨೦೦ ೨೨-೦೨-೧೯೮೦ ಕುರಿ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೨ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಮಾದರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೫೦೦ ೦೫-೦೬-೧೯೮೦ ಚಪ್ಪಲಿ ತಯಾರಿಕೆಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೩ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸರೋಜಮ್ಮ ಕೋಂ ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೩೦೦೦ ೧೦-೦೯-೧೯೮೦ ಬಾವಿ ಆಳ ಮಾಡಲು ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೪ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾರುತಿ ಬಿನ್ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಜಾಧವ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೦೦೦ ೨೫-೦೩-೧೯೮೧ ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೫ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಪಾದಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೨೦೦೦ ೦೯-೦೭-೧೯೭೯ ಎತ್ತು ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೬ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮವ್ವ ಕೋಂ ಈರಪ್ಪ ಕಮ್ಮಾರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೫೦೦ ೧೭-೧೦-೧೯೭೯ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಕೆಲಸದ ಸಲಕರಣೆಗಳ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೭ ಶ್ರೀ ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಯಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಕುರುಬರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೨೦೦ ೨೨-೦೨-೧೯೮೦ ಕುರಿ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೮ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಮಾದರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೫೦೦ ೦೫-೦೬-೧೯೮೦ ಚಪ್ಪಲಿ ತಯಾರಿಕೆಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೨೯ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸರೋಜಮ್ಮ ಕೋಂ ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡರ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೩೦೦೦ ೧೦-೦೯-೧೯೮೦ ಬಾವಿ ಆಳ ಮಾಡಲು ೧೦ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

೩೦ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾರುತಿ ಬಿನ್ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಜಾಧವ, ಸಾ: ಕೆರೆಕೊಪ್ಪ ೧೦೦೦ ೨೫-೦೩-೧೯೮೧ ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಖರೀದಿಗಾಗಿ ೫ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ

By order

13/11/96

Draft for Secretary.

Cmpd
B.C.

Submitted ✓

This is Excise matter
the petition may therefore
be transmitted to the Excise
branch.

6/7/97



Submitted for orders.

The Petitioners case was
disposed of in Govt Prs: No 2871-2
& 12th September/96 and he was
Ex 99
more than once informed that
the Saime could not be reopened

He may now be told that
~~this~~ no notice will be taken of
his future application

14/7/97

He may be referred to the
several orders passed & informed
that the orders passed are final

15.7.97

16/7/97

Petr is not thankful for the permission
granted: He says there was no ground to make
the demand or to grant the remission and
claims damages. This petn is far from courteous
& is in the form of a notice
Subd to the 3d Councillor -

19/7/97

This Case is to be withdrawn
being by the Karyastha as directed
by the Commr endorsement 4
hereon. Petitioner to be informed
accordingly

19-7-97

This was duly
intimated to
the Karyastha

filed 19/7

1897

8x 20

(40)

No. 9

Name of Petitioner Ramanna, late Amildar

Village Amaidur

Taluk Arkalgud

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 25 — 6 — 97.

Date of Receipt 1 7 — 97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Vil

ABSTRACT

With reference to Endorsements Nos 657 Ex and 5460 Ex 211 to the effect that the Dy Commissioner has reported (1) that the Toddy drawn in the Vanams of Dadadahalli and Yelarkalli of the Hedatore Taluk would not answer the requirements of the Dasans at Kerlabura and Heradanahalli; (2) that if it was not sufficient the petitioner would not have paid the deposit amount and also the Khists for May & June 1892; (3) that the petitioner being the sole contractor it was his duty to know what Vanams belonged to him; (4) that the same information that had been furnished to other contractors had also been furnished to him; (5) that as regards his allegation that out of the nine Vanams of the Hunsur Taluk in which the Dukan of Rudrapatna, Heragodu and Anantagiri ~~on which the Dukans of Rudrapatna~~ depended he was given possession of only three Vanams; it is stated that after the settlement of the dispute which existed between him and the contractor, a Panchayat was executed; (6) that from these and other references his petition is rejected.

and that as regards the arrears due by him to Government, a report having been received that a sum of Rs 187 was realized by the sale of the property belonging to him and his surety and that there was no assets for the realization of the balance amounting to Rs 1040-8-3, an order has been passed issued for the remission of the amount, stated as regards No. 1,

During the sale the Varams of Dadadahalli and Yelarahalli were settled for the Dukan of Kerlapura. This was not given effect to. The Taluk authorities of Yedatore appropriated the Varam of Dadadahalli. Hence there was only one Varam viz of Yelaradalli for the Dukan of Kerlapura. By this only half the amount was realized.

As regards No 2,

He was to be placed in charge of the Bab from 1st April. He was placed in charge of it four months afterwards by the mistake of the Taluk authorities. He borrowed and paid the deficit amount and the instalment due for the said four months. The decision of Government on this point whether he paid the amount from the working of the Bab or from his pocket, seems to have been passed without due enquiry.

As regards No 3

He completed and bought the contract in accordance with Taluk accounts. He had no reason to ascertain the Varams previous to this, nor had he any knowledge of them. Soon after the confirmation of the sale it was the duty of the Taluk authorities to have the boundary of the Varams fixed and pointed out to the contractor to place them free of all impediments in his possession, and then to grant him license and obtain receipt. The Taluk authorities have not done this.

As regards No. 4.

Government having shown during sale 10 Varams on paper and placed only 6 Varams in possession, did not demand for the full amount of contract from others. This was not done in his case also. But the assurance given at the time of sale was not given effect to

As regards No 5.

No settlement was effected of the Tak which existed between him and the Contractor. Nor has the Petitioner executed any Inamdarbeke. The Arkalgud Taluk authorities obtained at the instance of the Hunsur Taluk authorities two receipts from him for 6 Varams, though he was placed in charge of only 3 Varams, through fraud. The other three Varams referred to in his Takrar and mentioned in the Sagvali Chit were appropriated to Hunsur Taluk and the amount due therefrom has been fully realized. He has brought to the notice of the authorities concerned of the fraudulent way in which receipts were obtained from him But no notice has been taken of this complaint. He and his surety have been rendered liable not only to loss but also to indignity by the attachment and sale of their property. All this has been brought about by the negligence of the Taluk and District authorities. He prays that he may be compensated for this.

As regards No 6.

If the other references referred to were sent for and gone through Government would come to know the above facts.

As regards No 7

No arrears are due by him as will be seen from the records. Yet a sum of Rs 187 has been recovered from them by coercive measures. There is nothing to grant him any remission.

He was in possession of the Bab only for 8 months, i.e., from 1st August up to the end of March. Hence he is not liable for the amount due for the other four months. The Taluk license, the Yadi of the Hunsur Taluk, the false report of the Amildar stating that there was no mistake of his, though he did not place him in charge of the Bab from 1st April, and the receipt given by him for placing him in possession of the Bab would notorily falsify the points referred to in the above endorsements but would help him in his Cause. He was not placed in Charge of the Bab during the summer months, when large income would have been realized.

Prays that early and equitable order may be passed.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No.
DATE 1-8-97

Recd by registration
1/7/97